This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

| Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| □ BLACK BORDERS | | | | | |
| ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES | | | | | |
| ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING | | | | | |
| I BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING | | | | | |
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES | | | | | |
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS | | | | | |
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS | | | | | |
| ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT | | | | | |
| TREFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY | | | | | |
| OTHER: | | | | | |

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

| COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS |
|---|
| P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov |
| |

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/004,010 | 10/004,010 11/01/2001 | | Gerald G. Pechanek | 800.0046 | 7418 | |
| 27997 | 7590 10/05/2004 EXAMINER | | | INER | | |
| PRIEST & GOLDSTEIN PLLC 5015 SOUTHPARK DRIVE SUITE 230 | | | • / • | DO, CHAT C | | |
| | | | | ART-UNIT- | PAPER-NUMBER | |
| DURHAM, | NC 2771 | 3-7736 | | 2124 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/004,010 | PECHANEK ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Chat C. Do | 2124 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from o, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D '(35'U.S:C.§'133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/0 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. | s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-36 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 37-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>5/2/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc | epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$ | Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | ratent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28 and 37-41, drawn to complex multiplication in either one or two cycle, classified in class 708, subclass 622.
 - II. Claims 29-36, drawn to covariance matrix calculation, classified in class 708, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions Group I is drawn to a complex multiplication structure for performing complex multiplication in either one cycle or two cycles wherein Group II is drawn to covariance matrix calculation involving particular set of instructions.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2124

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. During a telephone conversation with Joseph Agusta on 08/26/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I drawn to complex multiplication, claims 1-28 and 37-41. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 29-36 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

8. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2124

9. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains the language that should be avoided as "a covariance matrix are described" in line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

10. Claims 7-8 and 21-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 7-8 and 21-22 have same limitations cited claims 2-3 and 16-17 respectively. The applicant is advised to amend or cancel the claims 7-8 and 21-22 to avoid duplicated claims in application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garde (U.S. 5,896,543).

Re claim 15, Garde discloses in Figure 12C an apparatus (Figure 12C) for the single cycle computation of complex multiplication (abstract), the apparatus comprising a first storage means (610 and 612) for storing a first complex operand (610) and a second complex operand (612), the first complex operand (610) including real component Xr

Art Unit: 2124

(real1) and imaginary component Xi (imag1), the second complex operand (612) including real component Yr (real2) and imaginary component Yi (imag2); multiplier means (602, 604, 606, and 608) for simultaneously performing multiplications of operation to produce products Xr*Yr, Xr*Yi, Xi*Yr and Xi*Yi (output of 602, 604, 606, and 608); a second storage means (buffer) for storing products Xr*Yr, Xr*Yi, Xi*Yr and Xi*Yi; adder means (624 and 626) for simultaneously performing additions (626) and subtractions (624) of operation to produce real result (Xr*Yr)-(Xi*Yi) (output of 624) and imaginary result (Xr*Yi)+(Xi*Yr) if a non-conjugated operation is being performed (output of 626), adder means (624 and 626) further for simultaneously performing additions (626) and subtractions (624) of operation to produce real result (Xr*Yr)+(Xi*Yi) (output of 626) and imaginary result (Xi*Yr)-(Xr*Yi) (output of 624) if a conjugated operation is being performed; and a third storage means (63) for storing the results of adder means (output of 624 and 626).

Re claim 16, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C accumulator means (630) for simultaneously performing accumulation in the cycle of operation to accumulate the results of adder means (outputs of 626 and 624) with the current contents of third storage means (620), wherein third storage means (630) is further for storing the results of accumulator means (630).

Re claim 17, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C extended precision storage means (630 with 32-bits storage instead of 16-bits), wherein accumulator means is further for simultaneously performing accumulation in the cycle of operation to accumulate the results of adder means (outputs of 626 and 624) with both the current contents of third

Art Unit: 2124

storage means (620) and the current contents of extended precision storage means (630), wherein extended precision storage means is for storing extended precision results of accumulator means (630).

Re claim 18, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C the complex operand components Xr, Xi, Yr and Yi are each 16 bits (each input into multipliers 602, 604, 606, and 608 wherein each multiplier is 16 by 16), the real and imaginary results are each 32 bits (therefore total is 32), and the extended precision results are each 8 bits (630).

Re claim 19, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C the complex operand components Xr, Xi, Yr and Yi are each 16 bits (each input into multipliers 602, 604, 606, and 608 wherein each multiplier is 16 by 16), and the real and imaginary results are each 32 bits (therefore total is 32).

Re claim 20, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C multiplier means is further for simultaneously performing multiplications in the second cycle of operation utilizing a second pair of operands (Figure 2 for more data process).

Re claim 21, it has limitations cited in claim 16. Thus, claim 21 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 16.

Re claim 22, it has limitations cited in claim 17. Thus, claim 22 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 17.

Re claim 23, it is a method claim of claim 15. Thus, claim 23 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 15.

Re claim 24, it is a method claim of claim 16. Thus, claim 24 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 16.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 25, it is a method claim of claim 17. Thus, claim 25 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 17.

Re claim 26, it is a method claim of claim 17. Thus, claim 26 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 17.

Re claim 27, it is a method claim of claim 18. Thus, claim 27 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 18.

Re claim 28, it is a method claim of claim 19. Thus, claim 28 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 1-14 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Garde (U.S. 5,896,543) in view of Kohn (U.S. 5,204,828).

Re claim 1, Garde discloses in Figure 12C an apparatus (Figure 12C) for the computation of complex multiplication (abstract), the apparatus comprising a first storage means (610 and 612) for storing a first complex operand (610) and a second complex operand (612), the first complex operand (610) including real component Xr (real1) and imaginary component Xi (imag1), the second complex operand (612) including real component Yr (real2) and imaginary component Yi (imag2); multiplier means (602, 604,

Art Unit: 2124

606, and 608) for simultaneously performing multiplications of operation to produce products Xr*Yr, Xr*Yi, Xi*Yr and Xi*Yi (output of 602, 604, 606, and 608); a second storage means (buffer) for storing products Xr*Yr, Xr*Yi, Xi*Yr and Xi*Yi, adder means (624 and 626) for simultaneously performing additions (626) and subtractions (624) of operation to produce real result (Xr*Yr)-(Xi*Yi) (output of 624) and imaginary result (Xr*Yi)+(Xi*Yr) if a non-conjugated operation is being performed (output of 626), adder means (624 and 626) further for simultaneously performing additions (626) and subtractions (624) of operation to produce real result (Xr*Yr)+(Xi*Yi) (output of 626) and imaginary result (Xi*Yr)-(Xr*Yi) (output of 624) if a conjugated operation is being performed; and a third storage means (63) for storing the results of adder means (output of 624 and 626). Garde does not clearly discloses the above calculation is performed in two cycles wherein all the multiplications are performed in first cycle and the addition and subtraction are performed in second cycle. However, Kohn discloses in Figure 2 that a set of multiplication and a set of addition would be performed in two cycles (claim 4) wherein the multiplication is done in a cycle (col. 8 lines 27-28) and addition/subtraction is done in another cycle (col. 8 lines 30-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to perform the above calculation in two cycles wherein all the multiplications are performed in first cycle and the addition and subtraction are performed in second cycle as seen in Kohn's invention into Garde's invention because it would enable to increase the system performance by reducing the number of clock cycles to process the data.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 2, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C accumulator means (630) for simultaneously performing accumulation in the cycle of operation to accumulate the results of adder means (outputs of 626 and 624) with the current contents of third storage means (620), wherein third storage means (630) is further for storing the results of accumulator means (630).

Re claim 3, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C extended precision storage means (630 with 32-bits storage instead of 16-bits), wherein accumulator means is further for simultaneously performing accumulation in the cycle of operation to accumulate the results of adder means (outputs of 626 and 624) with both the current contents of third storage means (620) and the current contents of extended precision storage means (630), wherein extended precision storage means is for storing extended precision results of accumulator means (630).

Re claim 4, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C the complex operand components Xr, Xi, Yr and Yi are each 16 bits (each input into multipliers 602, 604, 606, and 608 wherein each multiplier is 16 by 16), the real and imaginary results are each 32 bits (therefore total is 32), and the extended precision results are each 8 bits (630).

Re claim 5, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C the complex operand components Xr, Xi, Yr and Yi are each 16 bits (each input into multipliers 602, 604, 606, and 608 wherein each multiplier is 16 by 16), and the real and imaginary results are each 32 bits (therefore total is 32).

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 6, Garde further discloses in Figure 12C multiplier means is further for simultaneously performing multiplications in the second cycle of operation utilizing a second pair of operands (Figure 2 for more data process).

Re claim 7, it has limitations cited in claim 2. Thus, claim 7 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 8, it has limitations cited in claim 3. Thus, claim 8 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 9, it is a method claim of claim 1. Thus, claim 9 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 10, it is a method claim of claim 2. Thus, claim 10 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 11, it is a method claim of claim 3. Thus, claim 11 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 12, it is a method claim of claim 3. Thus, claim 12 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 13, it is a method claim of claim 4. Thus, claim 113 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 14, it is a method claim of claim 5. Thus, claim 14 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Re claim 37, it has limitations cited in claim 1. Thus, claim 37 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 38, it has limitations cited in claim 2. Thus, claim 38 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 39, it has limitations cited in claim 3. Thus, claim 39 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 40, it has limitations cited in claim 4. Thus, claim 40 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 41, it has limitations cited in claim 5. Thus, claim 41 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 6,625,630 to Vinitzky discloses a two cycle FFT.
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 5,983,253 to Fischer et al. disclose a computer system for performing complex digital fitlers.
 - c. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 0014458 A1 to Fischer et al. disclose a method and apparatus for storing complex numbers in formats which allow efficient complex multiplication operations to be performed and for performing such complex multiplication operations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (703) 305-5655. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2124

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

September 27, 2004

man' Char

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERMSORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100